not conversant with the law, wrong orders have been passed by such officers ?

Sri T. MARIAPPA.—I do not admit it.

Expenditure incurred towards Receptions in the year 1957.

Q.—4250. Sri B. G. KHOT (Sadalga).—

Will the Government be pleased to state :—

the total amount spent on receptions by Government during financial year 1957 ?

A.—Sri B. D. JATTI (Chief Minister).—

Rs. 13,120·00.

Sri B. G. KHOT.—May I know the total number of receptions ?

Sri B. D. JATTI.—It is a big list. I can read it...

Mr. SPEAKER.—No, not necessary.

Sri C. J. MUCKANNAPPA.—May I know the names of at least very important persons from that list ?

Mr. SPEAKER.—The statement will be placed on the Table.

Sri G. VENKATAI GOWDA.—May I know whether Government has achieved any benefit directly or indirectly by such receptions ?

Sri B. D. JATTI.—It is not for the sake of benefits that we hold such receptions. It is a matter of courtesy. When we go to other countries they also extend the same courtesy and so we have to hold such receptions.

Sri V. P. DEENADAYALU NAIDU.—Is not the Central Government sharing a portion of this expenditure ?

Sri B. D. JATTI.—For the parties that we arrange, there is no question of sharing the expenditure.

Sri C. J. MUCKANNAPPA.—Is it not a fact that when the Assembly was in session a foreign guest was entertained and no legislator was invited and a damaging report to that effect is made in the Gorwala Report ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಬಿ. ಡಿ. ಜತ್ತಿ.—ಹಿಂದೇನಾದರೂ ಆ ರೀತಿ ನಡೆದಿದ್ದರೆ ಮುಂದೆ ಆ ರೀತಿ ಮಾಡುವುದಿಲ್ಲ.

ಶ್ರೀ ಎ. ಜೆ. ದೊಡ್ಡಮೇಟಿ.—ಸತ್ಕಾರ ಸಮಾರಂಭಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ಎಷ್ಟು ಮಂದಿ ಶಾಸನಸಭಾ ಸದಸ್ಯರನ್ನು ಕರೆಸಿದ್ದೀರಿ ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಬಿ. ಡಿ. ಜತ್ತಿ.—ಶಾಸನಸಭೆ ನಡೆಯುವ ಕಾಲದಲ್ಲಿ ಯಾವುದಾದರೂ ಅಂಥ ಸಮಾರಂಭ ನಡೆದರೆ ಎಲ್ಲ ಸದಸ್ಯರನ್ನೂ ಆಹ್ವಾನಿಸುತ್ತೇವೆ, ಬೇರೆ ಕಾಲದಲ್ಲಿ ನೆಡೆದಲ್ಲಿರುವ ಶಾಸನಸಭಾ ಸದಸ್ಯರಿಗೆ ಆಹ್ವಾನ ಕಳುಹಿಸುತ್ತೇವೆ.

Sri K. PUTTASWAMY.—Sri C. J. Muckannappa referred to a damaging report in the Gorwala Report. What type of damaging report is it ? Is it not about the complaint voiced forth by Sri Muckannappa that is included there ?

Sri B. D. JATTI.—Yes, Sir.

ಡಾ॥ ಆರ್. ನಾಗನಗೌಡ.—ಆ ಸಮಾರಂಭಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ಬರಿಯ ಹೂವಿನಹಾರವಿತ್ತೋ ಅಥವ ಕಾಫಿ ತಿಂಡಿ, ಇಡ್ಲಿ ಇತ್ತೂ ? (ನಗು !)

ಶ್ರೀ ಬಿ. ಡಿ. ಜತ್ತಿ.—ಯಾರು ಹಾಜರಿದ್ದಾರೋ ಅವರಿಗೆ ಗೊತ್ತು.

ಶ್ರೀ ಬಿ ಜಿ. ಖೋತ್.—ಹದಿಮೂರು ಸಾವಿರ ರೂಪಾಯಿ ಖರ್ಚು ತೋರಿಸಿದ್ದೀರಿ, ಇದರಲ್ಲಿ ಸಿಬ್ಬಂದಿ ಖರ್ಚು ಸೇರಿದೆಯೇ ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಬಿ. ಡಿ. ಜತ್ತಿ.—ಇಲ್ಲ.

ಶ್ರೀ ಬಿ. ಜಿ. ಖೋತ್.—ಹಾಗಿದ್ದರೆ ಸಿಬ್ಬಂದಿ ಖರ್ಚೆಷ್ಟು ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಬಿ. ಡಿ. ಜತ್ತಿ.—ಆ ಪ್ರಶ್ನೆ ಬೇರೆ ಕೇಳಿದರೆ ಹೇಳುತ್ತೇನೆ.

Second Verification of Dates of Birth of Officers and Officials.

Q.—2416. Sri M. LINGE GOWDA (Kanakapura).—

Will the Government be pleased to state :—

(*a*) when did the Government put into force the rule of second verification of the dates of birth of officers and officials ;

(*b*) whether any discrimination is made in this regard between the officers and officials of one Department and another ;

(*c*) whether several Departments have not taken action in this regard ;

(*d*) whether they have extended the imposition of this rule on the officers and officials of the integrated areas ?

A.—Sri B. D. JATTI (Chief Minister).—

(*a*) March, 1950.

(*b*) No.

(*c*) The Heads of all Departments were requested to verify the dates of birth of the employees under them.

(SRI B. D. JATTI)

Government are not aware of any omissions in this regard.

(*d*) No.

Sri C. M. ARUMUGHAM.—I rise to a point of order, Sir. The question hour is over. Is it open to the Speaker or to any member

Mr. SPEAKER.—It is open to the Speaker. Of course, the question time is over, but the Hon'ble Member came within the question hour.

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಂ. ಲಿಂಗೇಗೌಡ.—ಹಳೆಯ ಮೈಸೂರಿನಲ್ಲಿ ಎರಡನೆಯ ವೆರಿಫಿಕೇಷನ್‌ನಿಂದ ಆಫೀಸರುಗಳಿಗೇನಾದರೂ ತೊಂದರೆಯಾಗಿದ್ದರೆ ತಾವು ಅದನ್ನು ವಜಾ ಮಾಡುವುದಕ್ಕೆ ತಯಾರಿದ್ದೀರಾ ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಬಿ. ಡಿ. ಜತ್ತಿ.—ಈ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ವಿಚಾರಮಾಡಿ ನಿರ್ಣಯಮಾಡಿ ಅರ್ಧ ಸರ್ವಿಸ್ ಕೊಡಬೇಕೆಂದು ಗೊತ್ತುಮಾಡಿದೆ, ಈ ಕೇಸನ್ ಬಹಳವಿಲ್ಲ.

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಂ. ಲಿಂಗೇಗೌಡ.—ಈ ಸೆಕೆಂಡ್ ವೆರಿಫಿಕೇಷನ್ ಎಲ್ಲಿನಹೊಂದಿದ ಪ್ರದೇಶದ ಆಫೀಸರುಗಳಿಗೆ ಅವಶ್ಯಕವಿಲ್ಲವೆ ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಬಿ. ಡಿ. ಜತ್ತಿ.—ಈ ಪ್ರಶ್ನೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಅದು ಉದ್ಭವಿಸುವುದಿಲ್ಲ. ಇದು ಹಳೆಯ ಮೈಸೂರಿಗೆ ಸಂಬಂಧಪಟ್ಟಿರುವುದರಿಂದ ವ್ಯತ್ಯಾಸವಿದ್ದರೆ ಸರಿಪಡಿಸಿದೆ, ಹೊಸ ಮೈಸೂರಿನಲ್ಲಿ ಈ ಪ್ರಶ್ನೆ ಇದುವರೆಗೆ ಉದ್ಭವಿಸುವುದಕ್ಕೆ ಕಾರಣವಿಲ್ಲ.

Sri M. RAJASEKHARA MURTHY.—In how many cases has the age been revised ?

Sri B. D. JATTI.—In about 236 cases.

Sri M. RAJASEKHARA MURTHY.—How many officers have become younger on account of the revision of the age ?

Sri B. D. JATTI.—Nobody has become younger.

Sri G. DUGGAPPA.—May I know definitely whether they have accepted in almost all the cases the date of birth as entered in the S.S.L.C. certificate ?

Sri B. D. JATTI.—In almost all the cases the S.S.L.C. certificate has been taken as correct.

Sri G. DUGGAPPA.—In how many cases have they not accepted the date of birth as entered in the S.S.L.C. certificate and the reasons therefor ?

Sri B. D. JATTI.—In every case merit has been taken into consideration and the decision has been taken not on one point only.

Sri G. DUGGAPPA.—Sir, my question is specific and I want an answer for it.

Sri Kadidal MANJAPPA.—The decision took place on the basis of the entry with regard to age in the S.S.L.C. class, in the Middle School class and in the College and on the basis of other evidence produced by the officers.

Sri K. PUTTASWAMY.—After the Government once determined the age of certain officers, may I know whether they did not add *ex-gratia* certain period of their service ?

Sri Kadidal MANJAPPA.—According to the Cabinet decision, half the service will be counted if they are in service, provided their record of service is good.

Sri K. PUTTASWAMY.—What was the special consideration in altering the decision of Government by adding half the period that was deducted from their age ?

Sri Kadidal MANJAPPA.—The practice of determining age afresh was not in vogue in the other areas of the new State. We took that into consideration. The consideration of equity also weighed with us and we thought that they should not suffer on account of the determination of the age.

Sri C. K. RAJAIAH SETTY.—The Misinter was pleased to say that they have given some concession looking to the good service that one has rendered. Does not the very fact that they have given false age show that they do not deserve any concession ?

Sri Kadidal MANJAPPA.—We cannot say that it was false age.

Sri G. VENKATAI GOWDA.—What action has Government taken against the officers who have given two dates of birth ?

Sri Kadidal MANJAPPA.—The dates were revised.

Sri C. J. MUCKANNAPPA.—Why was the second verification of date of birth undertaken when once the Government has taken a decision in this matter ? Is it to harm certain officers who are not liked by the Government ?

Sri Kadidal MANJAPPA.—The Government wanted to know the correct date of birth and therefore they initiated the practice of determining the date of birth.

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಚ್. ಜಿ. ನರಸಿಂಹೇಗೌಡ.—ಹಳೆಯ ಮೈಸೂರಿನಲ್ಲಿ ಸಾಧಾರಣವಾಗಿ ನಂನ್ಹಾನಾಮಳಿ ತಂದೆ

ತಾಯಿಗಳು ಅವಿದ್ಯಾವಂತರಾಗಿದ್ದು ಮಿಡ್ಲ್‌ಸ್ಕೂಲಿಗೆ ಸೇರಿಸುವಾಗ ತಪ್ಪು ಮಮನ್ನು ಕೊಟ್ಟಿದ್ದರೆ ಈಗ ಏಜ್‌ಬಾರ್ ಆಗಿರುವುದನ್ನು ರಿವೈಜ್ ಮಾಡುತ್ತೀರಾ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಕಡಿದಾಳ್ ಮಂಜಪ್ಪ.—ಪ್ರಾಥಮಿಕ ಶಾಲೆಗಳಲ್ಲಿ, ಮಾಧ್ಯಮಿಕ ಶಾಲೆಗಳಲ್ಲಿ, ಪ್ರೌಢಶಾಲೆಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ಮತ್ತು ಕಾಲೇಜುಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ಒಂದೊಂದು ರೀತಿಯಾಗಿ ಹುಟ್ಟಿದ ತಾರೀಖನ್ನು ಕೊಟ್ಟಿರುವುದನ್ನು ಇಗ್ನೋರ್ ಮಾಡುವುದಕ್ಕಾಗುವುದಿಲ್ಲ.

ಶ್ರೀ ವೈ. ವೀರಪ್ಪ.—ಸರ್ಕಾರಿ ಕೆಲಸಕ್ಕೆ ಸೇರಿಕೊಳ್ಳಬೇಕಾದರೆ ನಿಯಮದ ಪ್ರಕಾರ ಒಂದು ಭಾರಂನಲ್ಲಿ ಬರೆದು ಕೊಡುವ ಹುಟ್ಟಿದ ತಾರೀಖು, ಕುಲಗೋತ್ರ ವಗ್ಯೆರೆಗಳನ್ನು ಧೃಡೀಕರಿಸಿಕೊಡುವುದನ್ನು ಯಾರೂ ಸ್ಕ್ರುಟಿನಿ ಮಾಡುವುದಿಲ್ಲವೆ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಕಡಿದಾಳ್ ಮಂಜಪ್ಪ.—ಕೆಲವು ಸಂದರ್ಭಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ಸ್ಕ್ರುಟಿನಿ ಮಾಡಿರಲಿಲ್ಲ.

ಶ್ರೀ ವೈ. ವೀರಪ್ಪ.—ಅಂಥ ಸಂದರ್ಭಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ಸ್ಕ್ರುಟಿನಿ ಮಾಡದಿರುವ ಅಧಿಕಾರಿಗಳ ಮೇಲೆ ಕ್ರಮ ಕೈಕೊಳ್ಳುತ್ತೀರಾ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಕಡಿದಾಳ್ ಮಂಜಪ್ಪ.—ಅವರು ಹಿಂದೆಯೇ ರಿಟೈರ್ ಆಗಿ ಹೋಗಿದ್ದಾರೆ.

Smt. VIJAYA RAGHAVENDER RAO.—May I know whether the Government believed in the S.S.L.C. certificate or whether it believed in the municipal certificate?

Sri Kadidal MANJAPPA.—It takes into consideration the date of birth given in the S.S.L.C. certificate, the date of birth as entered in the birth extract, horoscope and other records produced by the officers.

SHORT NOTICE QUESTION AND ANSWER.

Cases of food poisoning in Gundalpet Town.

Q.—20. Sri R. M. PATIL (Navalgund).—

Will the Government be pleased to state:—

(*a*) whether the food poisoning was detected in Gundalpet Town, and if so, the number of persons affected by it;

(*b*) the main and real cause for the same;

(*c*) the action they have taken to cure the injured and to prevent the spread of the same?

A.—Sri K. K. HEGDE (Minister for Health).—

(*a*) No cases of food poisoning detected but about 160 cases of vomiting and diarrhœa occurred.

(*b*) Samples of food taken and vomit and motion are under examination.

(*c*) All cases of vomiting and diarrhœa were examined and treatment given and no further cases have occurred.

Sri R. M. PATIL.—May I know whether the answer to (*b*) is relevant to the question?

Mr. SPEAKER.—I do not think there is any discrepancy here. It means to say that Government are investigating the cause of food poisoning. For that the Government have sent samples of food, and excreta etc., for examination.

*Sri K. K. HEGDE.—We have given answer to say that action has been taken and it is under examination.

Sri R. M. PATIL.—Did the Director of Public Health go to the town? If so, on what date?

Sri K. K. HEGDE.—Yes, Sir; on the 30th of that month.

Sri R. M. PATIL.—Did the Health Officer go to the town? If so, on what date?

Sri K. K. HEGDE.—Yes, Sir; on the 27th of that month.

Sri R. M. PATIL.—Is the Government aware that the Director of Public Health issued a public statement through the P.T.I. agency that it was a poisoning case?

Sri K. K. HEGDE.—It is never established that it is a poisoning case.

Sri G. VENKATAI GOWDA.—Is it a fact that there were poisonous contents in the *chutney*?

Sri K. K. HEGDE.—Not so far known.

Sri A. R. KARISIDDAPPA.—The answer is dated 19th November 1958. Two days are over. May I know the exact reason?

Sri K. K. HEGDE.—Still it is under examination. So far as chemical examination is concerned, it is found that there is no chemical poison, nor insectitude is detected.

ಶ್ರೀ ಯು. ಎಂ. ಮಾದಪ್ಪ.—ಪರಿಶೀಲನೆಮಾಡಲು ಇಷ್ಟು ನಿಧಾನಕ್ಕೆ ಕಾರಣವೇನು? ಇನ್ನೂ ಎಷ್ಟು ದಿನಗಳನ್ನು ತೆಗೆದುಕೊಳ್ಳುತ್ತೀರಿ?